

**Higher Education Global** 

**Efficiency Analysis** 

## Gender Diversity in German Higher Education System

Golnaz Maleki, HELENA Project, PIM, University of Duisburg-Essen EAIR 36<sup>th</sup> Annual Forum in Essen, Germany, 29 August 2014



### **1. Introduction**

- 2. Statistical analysis of gender differences in Germany
- 3. DEA Method & Teaching efficiency Analysis
- 4. Discussion

#### 1. Introduction

#### Background

- The Role of women in development of knowledge and technology
- The report of GWK (Gemeinsame Wissenschaftskonferenz)

#### Alternative Hypothesis

The following hypothesis will be defined and examined:

Equal presentation of women and men; utilization of the society's full potential, regardless of gender, shall lead to the growth and development of higher education levels as well as higher efficiency of universities.

UNIVERSITÄT

**Open-**Minded

BURG



**Open-**Minded

universität D\_U\_I\_S\_B\_U\_R\_G

#### General trend = increase in female participation in higher Education



#### 2. Statistical analysis of gender differences in Germany

**Open-**Minded

DUISBURG ESSEN

UNIVERSITÄT

| Women in German higher Education System | percentage of women |      |      |
|---|---------------------|------|------|
|   | 2010                | 2011 | 2012 |
| Students                                | 47.8                | 47.3 | 47.4 |
| Graduates                               | 51.4                | 50.7 | 50.7 |
| PhD. Students                           | 44.1                | 44.9 | 45.4 |
| Postdoctoral qualification              | 24.9                | 25.5 | 27.0 |
| Total Staff of universities             | 51.7                | 51.8 | 51.9 |
| Academic staff                          | 39.6                | 40.2 | 40.7 |
| Professors                              | 19.2                | 19.9 | 20.4 |
| total population                        | 51.0                | 50.9 | 50.9 |



#### 2. Statistical analysis of gender differences in Iran

**Open-**Minded

UNIVERSITÄT DUISBURG ESSEN

| Women in Iran higher Education System | percentage of women |       |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------|-------|
|                                       | 2011                | 2012  |
| Students                              | 49.8                | 48.2  |
| Graduates                             | 40.2                | 38.5  |
| PhD. Students                         | 36.9                | 38.1  |
| Academic staff                        | 21.6                | 24.2  |
| Professors                            | 15.6                | 16.28 |

#### 3. DEA Method & Teaching efficiency Analysis

#### Role of women in enhancing the efficiency of faculties



**University of Duisburg-Essen** 

UNIVERSITÄT D\_U\_I\_S\_B\_U\_R\_G

**Open-**Minded

- **University of Bielefeld**
- **University of Frankfurt**
- **University of Düsseldorf**

![](_page_8_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### **University of Duisburg-Essen**

![](_page_8_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### **University of Frankfurt**

![](_page_10_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### **University of Bielefeld**

![](_page_11_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### **University of Düsseldorf**

The number of female Students

The number of male Students

#### 3. DEA Method & Teaching efficiency Analysis

UNIVERSITÄT

- DEA is a mathematical programming technique that produces a single aggregate measure for each DMU in terms of its utilization of inputs to produce desired outputs (Kao and Hung, 2008).
- DEA offers two main possible orientations in efficiency analysis (Charnes et al 1994):
  - Input-oriented models
  - Output-oriented models

![](_page_12_Figure_6.jpeg)

#### UNIVERSITÄT DUISBURG ESSEN

#### 3. DEA Method & Teaching efficiency Analysis

**Open-**Minded

![](_page_13_Figure_3.jpeg)

#### 3. DEA Method & Teaching efficiency Analysis

| Rank | University Name                                | Share of Female Students | Efficiency Score |
|------|--|--------------------------|------------------|
| 1    | Faculty of Health Science -Bielefeld           | 80%                      | 100              |
| 2    | Faculty of Modern Languages-Frankfurt          | 77%                      | 100              |
| 3    | Faculty of Arts and Humanities -Düsseldorf     | 66%                      | 100              |
| 4    | Faculty of History-Bielefeld                   | 18%                      | 93               |
| 5    | Faculty of Linguistics and Literary -Bielefeld | 47%                      | 89               |
| 6    | Faculty of Biology-Bielefeld                   | 70%                      | 84               |
| 7    | Faculty of Business Administration -Düsseldorf | 48%                      | 77               |
|      |  |                          |                  |
| 39   | Faculty of Chemistry -Bielefeld                | 49%                      | 21               |
| 40   | Faculty of Medicine -Frankfurt                 | 62%                      | 18               |
| 41   | Faculty of Physics -DE                         | 22.76%                   | 18               |
| 42   | Faculty of Education -Bielefeld                | 53%                      | 17               |
| 43   | Faculty of Physics -Frankfurt                  | 26%                      | 16               |
| 44   | Faculty of Engineering –Duisburg-Essen         | 24.25%                   | 15               |
| 45   | Medical Faculty -Düsseldorf                    | 35%                      | 13               |

#### 4. Discussion

#### Conclusion

 Analysis results indicate that hypothesis could not be rejected. Not only the most efficient faculties have a high share of female students, but inefficient Faculties have had the lowest share of female students. In other words, lack of sufficient utilization of educational potential of women has led to Faculties' inefficient performance.

#### Suggestions for further research

- Considering more participants and universities and accordingly a larger scale
- Considering publication as Output in Efficiency analysis

UNIVERSITÄT

**Open-**Minded

BURG

![](_page_16_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Thank you for your attention!

![](_page_16_Picture_2.jpeg)

Contact: Phone +49 201 183 6681

Golnaz.Maleki@pim.uni-due.de

Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung

![](_page_16_Picture_6.jpeg)

۶

## **HELENA**

Higher Education Global Efficiency Analysis

http://www.helena.wiwi.uni-due.de

Förderkennzeichen 01PW11007